FACULTY MEMBER PERFORMANCE REVIEW SUMMARY

Faculty Member (please type)	Department Chairperson (please type)
Department (please type)	School (please type)
Use faculty member's Mean Score Teaching Effectiveness Rating (fro	from the Student Evaluation Instrument m Chairperson's Instrument)
3. Intellectual Contributions (from Ch	
4. Service (University/Community) (f	
5. Professional Development/Practice	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
formulas for Teaching Effectiveness, Professional Development/Practice.	
·	
Overall Faculty Evaluation Rating: Signatories:	
•	Date

does not represent agreement or non-agreement with the Chairperson's ratings.

Declaration of Weights to be Used in Faculty Evaluation (designated by the faculty member)

Instructions: In consultation with your Chairperson, use the following categories to declare your weights.

Category	%	Rating	Weighted Score
Teaching Effectiveness (Choose from 50% to 80%)			
Intellectual Contributions (Choose from 10% to 40%)			
Service (University & Community) (Choose from 5% to 30%)			
Professional Development Practice (Choose from 5% to 20%)			
Total (must be 100%)	100%		

Signatories:	
Faculty Member	Date
Chair	

Formulas

Teaching: (average of items 12 and 13 from the *Student Evaluation Instrument* times 0.25 plus Chairperson's evaluation of teaching times 0.75) times weight assigned by faculty member.

Intellectual Contributions: total rating from the Chairperson's Evaluation of Faculty Instrument times weight assigned by faculty member.

Service: total rating from the Chairperson's Evaluation of Faculty Instrument times weight assigned by faculty member.

Professional Development/Practice: total rating from the Chairperson's Evaluation of faculty instrument times weight assigned by faculty member.

<u>Sample Calculation:</u> Faculty members assigned weights of 80% (teaching), 10% (intellectual contributions), 5% (service), and 5% (professional development/practice).

Teaching: $[(4.0* \times .25) + (5.0* \times .75)] \times .80 = 3.8$, Intellectual Contributions. $(4.0* \times .10) = 0.4$; **Service**: $(2.0* \times .05) = 0.1$; Professional Development/Practice: $(3.0* \times .05) = 0.15$ **Total** = 3.8 + 0.4 + 0.1 + 0.15 = 4.46

*evaluation ratings

*Evaluation ratings

Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness of Faculty Member by Department Chair

Exemplary Professional Performance

Rating Scale: EP -

Instructions:	Use the follow	ving rating sc	ale to deter	rmine poin	its for each	of the teachi	ing effective	eness
items								

Consistently exceeds accepted standards of professional performance

	HP -	_	ional Performa ceeds accepted s		ofessional performan	ice
	SP -		fessional Perfo	_	ressional periorman	
					fessional performance	ce
	MP -	Minimal Pert	formance	-	-	
		Does not cons	istently meet ac	cepted standard	ls of professional per	rformance
	UP -		y Performance			
		Does not meet	t minimal standa	irds of professi	onal performance	
Justifi	cation for rati	ngs must app	ear on the nex	kt page.		
TE-1	Instructional T	Dagiom Strilla (thaga taghniga	1 alrilla in (1)	dasianina	Dating
I E-1	Instructional I sequencing, ar	•	•			Rating:
	learning and (2					
	and procedure				1 5 10015	
	1		_	<i>U</i>)		
TE-2	Content Exper	rtise (that body	y of skills, com	petencies, and	d knowledge	
	in a specific su	ubject area in	which the facu	lty member h	as received	
	advanced expe	erience, trainir	ng, or education	n)		Rating:
TE-3					ing and managing	
					examinations,	
	•	-	-	_	rms; maintaining ents for facilities	
	and resources	·	•		ents for facilities	Rating:
	and resources	required in the	c teaching of a	course		Rating.
To coi	mpute the Teac	ching Effectiv	eness of Facu	ltv Member	Rating, assign the	e following
values	-	9		<i>y</i>	9 , 9	, .
	EP=5	HP=4	SP=3	MP=2	UP=1	
			0	O ,	l divide by three t	to calculate
the Te	eaching Effecti	veness Rating	g of the facult	y member. <i>L</i>	o not round.	
Tanah	ing Effectivene	cc Dating:				
1 Cacil	ing Effectivelle	ss Kanng				

Note to faculty member: If you have documentation that will influence the above rating, place

your portfolio under the appropriate heading, e.g., TE-1.

Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness of Faculty Member by Department Chair (continued)

Justification of ratings from the previous page should follow.

6. Instructional Design Skills						
7.Content Expe	rtise					
_						

Course M	anagement			
_				

Evaluation of Intellectual Contributions

A. Scholarship	p
IC-1.	Published in a referred journal(s) research monographs scholarly books, proceeding from
IC-2.	scholarly meetings: 8 points each. Maximum of 16. Secured funding for a research project: 2 points for every \$50k, maximum of 8
1C-2.	(credit is still not applicable if the credit is still not serving as principle investigator)
IC-3.	Submitted research articles for publications: 2 points each maximum of 8
IC-4.	Presented research at a conference: (2 points for a local conference, 4 points for a
10.5	regional conference, 6 points for a national or international conference)
IC-5. IC-6.	Presented papers at faculty research seminars: 4 points each, maximum of 8) Published in professional journal(s): 8 points each, maximum of 16
IC-0.	Presented at a professional meeting: 4 points each, maximum of 8
IC-8.	Presented at a professional meeting. 4 points each, maximum of 6 Presented papers at faculty workshop(s): 2 points each, maximum of 6
IC-9.	Reviewed a book: 6 points
IC-10.	Published in public/trade journals: 6 points each, maximum of 12
IC-11.	Published in in-house journal: 3 points each, maximum of 6
B. Instructiona	al Development
IC-12	Wrote a textbook: 8 points each, maximum of 16
	Published in a pedagogical journal: 8 points each, maximum of 16
	Wrote cases with instructional materials: 4 points each, maximum of 8
	Developed instructional software: 8 points each, maximum of 16
IC-16.	Made available materials describing the design and implementation of new courses: 6
	points each, maximum of 12
C. Creative En	ndeavors
IC-17.	Performed in a musical, dramatic, of media production: 6 points each for a major role: maximum of 12 points; 2 points each for a minor role, maximum 6
IC-18.	Created a musical, dramatic or media work, which was performed, published,
	exhibited, and/or broadcast: 6 points each, maximum of 12
IC-19.	Directed or produced a musical, dramatic, or media event/performance/broadcast/
10.20	recording/exhibition of written text: 6 points each, maximum of 12
1C-20.	Designed and/or implemented the technical work (scene, costume, lighting, sound, etc.) for a musical, dramatic, or media production: 4 points each, maximum of 8
IC-21.	Other creative endeavors: 6 points each, maximum of 12
	,
Total Intellect	ual Contributions Points:Total Rating:
Points	Rating Scale
20 and above	Exemplary Performance (EP=5)
16-19	High Performance (HP=4)
12-15	Standard Performance (SP=3)
8-11	Minimal Performance (MP=2)
Below 8	Unsatisfactory Performance (UP=1)

Evaluation of Service

Instructions: After reviewing the Service Report in the Portfolio for Annual Evaluation, determine points for each of the appropriate items below.

A. Service to	the Campus, Discipline, and Department
S-1	Membership on campus, discipline, or department committee: 4 points per committee, maximum of 12
S-2	Chair of campus, discipline, or department committee: 2 points in addition to membership points, maximum of 4
S-3	Leader of campus, discipline, or department workshop or presentation: 2-4 points each, maximum of 8 points: 4 points for the preparation and original offering of the presentation 2 points for repeating a presentation.
S-4	Mentor of new faculty member: 4 points
S-5	Mentor of part-time faculty: 2 points
B. Service to	College and Community
S-6	Membership on councils or committee: 6 points per committee or council for college- wide or state-wide membership, maximum of 12 points: 4 points per committee or council for local membership maximum of 8
S-7	Chair of council or of a committee: 4 points in addition to membership points
S-8	Leader of college-wide or community workshops, courses, or presentations: 2-4 points each, maximum of 8; 4 points for the preparation and original offering of the presentation: 2 points for repeating a presentation.
S-9	Organizer of lecture series: 2 points, maximum of 2
S-10	Advisor to student organization recognized by SGA: 4 points, maximum of 4
S-11	Advisor/editor of college publication: 4 points
S-12	Application of recognized area of expertise in the community without consulting pay: 2 points per activity, maximum of 4
S-13	Participation in college-sponsored outreach activities: 2 points, maximum of 2
	vities: Those activities, other than the ones noted above, which directly contributed to demic or administrative functioning of the university.
S-14	1-8 points: points should be assigned based upon the significance of the activity and the amount of effort involved and should be in line with other listed activities of comparable scope
Total Service	Points: Service Rating of Faculty Member:
Service Points 27 and above	Exemplary Performance (EP=5)
18-26	High Performance (HP=4)
9-17	Standard Performance (SP=3)
5-8	Minimal Performance (MP=2)
0-4	Unsatisfactory Performance (UP=1)

Evaluation of Professional Development

Instruction: After reviewing the Professional Development Report in the Portfolio for Annual Evaluation, determine points for each of the appropriate items below:

A.	Profess	sional Organizations
	_PD-1	Holds current membership in professional organization: 2 points each maximum of 6
	PD-2	Serves on a committee of a professional organization: 2 points each maximum of 4
	PD-3	Holds an elective or appointive office or chaired a committee of a state or local professional
		organization: 4 points each, maximum of 8
	_PD-4	Holds an elective or appointive office or chaired a committee of a regional, national,
		professional
B.	Further	r Education and Degrees
	_PD-5	Received credit for a graduate course (other than dissertation or thesis hours):4 point per course, maximum of 8
	PD-6	Participated in scholarly pedagogical or technological workshops or presentations at Alcorn
		State University (excluding those that were required): 2 points each, maximum of 4
	PD-7	Participated in workshops, summer institutes, short courses, audited a graduate level
		course, etc. (excluding Alcorn State University activities): 2 points each, maximum of 4
	PD-8	Completed a graduate degree from an accredited institution: 6 points each
C.	Award	ls, Grants, Artistic Commissions, and/or Fellowships
	_PD-9	Received an award, grant, artistic commission, or fellowship (excluding tuition grants for
		graduate study): 2 points for a local award, grant, commission or fellowship: 4 points for
		a state-wide award, grant, commission or fellowship: 6 points for a regional award grant,
		commission or fellowship: 6 points for a regional award grant, commission or fellowship:
		8 points for a national or international award, grant, commission or fellowship: maximum
	PD-10	of 8 Served on a grant review panel: 2 points, maximum of 2
		penne, naminan er 2
D.	to eith	activities: Those activities, other than the ones noted above, which directly contributed er the academic or administrative functioning of the university e.g. faculty internship, I practice
	DD 11	1-8 points: points should be assigned based upon the significance of the accomplishments
	1 10-11	and the amount of effort involved and should be in line with other listed activities of
		comparable scope.
Total	Professio	onal Development Points: Total Rating:
		evelopment Points Rating Scale
	d above	Exemplary Performance (EP=5)
18-26		High Performance (HP=4)
9-17		Standard Performance (HP=3)
5-8 0-4		Minimal Performance (HP=2 Unsatisfactory Performance (HP=1)
U- 4		Unsausfactory refformance (fir-1)